

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,348	3	09/13/2004	Gioacchino Coppi	2541-1025	3855
466	7590	12/08/2005		EXAMINER	
YOUN	G & THO	OMPSON	NGUYEN, TUAN VAN		
745 SOI	JTH 23RI	D STREET			
2ND FL	OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLING	GTON, V	'A 22202	3731		
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/507,	348	COPPI, GIOACCI	HINO			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Tuan V.	Nguyen	3731				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHOI WHICH - Extension after Si - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO oplication to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
2a)	esponsive to communication(s) file his action is FINAL . ince this application is in condition losed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal ma		e merits is			
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the a a) Of the above claim(s) is/a claim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from c						
Application	n Papers							
10)⊠ Ti A R	ne specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 13 Septemb pplicant may not request that any objected to be oath or declaration is objected to	<u>er 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ ection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyined if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ntion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o No(s)/Mail Date 13/9/04.		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	[·] O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/507,348

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grudem et al (U.S. 6,511,491).
- 3. Referring to claims 1-4, Grudem et al disclose (see Fig. 3) a stent device 10, wherein the device comprises a annular element or tubular element having a first portion (20) or first end and a second portion 40 or second end and bears a plurality of outwardly-projecting slender elements (26, 22, 42) arranged in proximity of at least one of the first end and the second end. The slender elements (26, 22) are arranged in proximity of the first end (20) and exhibit a free end (28, 24) facing towards the second end (40). The slender elements (42) are arranged in proximity of the second end (40) and exhibit a free end (44) facing towards the first end (20).
- 4. As to the recitation that "a device for anastomosis", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention

Application/Control Number: 10/507,348 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kleshinski (U.S. 5,755,778).
- 6. Referring to claims 1-4, Kleshinski discloses an anastomosis device 10, wherein the device comprises a tubular element having a first collar (14) or first end and a second collar (16) or second end and bears a plurality of outwardly-projecting slender elements (20) arranged in proximity of at least one of the first end and the second end. The slender elements (20) are arranged in proximity of the first end and exhibit a free end facing towards the second end (16). The slender elements (20) are arranged in proximity of the second end (16) and exhibit a free end facing towards the first end (14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3731

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grudem et al (U.S. 6,511,491) further in view of Killion et al (U.S. 6,159,238).
- 10. Referring to claim 5, Grudem et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for the tubular element has a longitudinal profile section which is truncoconical and a transversal section which decreases in a direction going from the first end to the second end. Killion et al disclose an expanded stent device (see Figs. 3 and 6) is of a tapered configuration (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by the applicant to use the tapered design, as disclosed by Killion et al, to incorporate into the device, as disclosed by Grudem et al, because this is a matter of design choice since such a design does not solved any stated problem.
- 11. Referring to claims 6-8, Grudem et al disclose (see Fig. 3) the slender elements are arranged along the circumference of the proximal to the first portion 20 and second portion (40). The slender elements 22, 26 of the first portion (20) or first end are reciprocally distanced at a smaller step with respect to a step at which the slender elements (42) arranged in proximity of the second portion (40) or second

Application/Control Number: 10/507,348 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

end are reciprocally distanced. The slender elements (22, 26) are longer and more prominent than the slender elements (42).

12. Referring to claim 9, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 5.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan V. Nguyen November 24, 2005 0/

ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER